

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2013) KLR VOL 7 PART 335 pp. 3561 - 3894

JULY 2013

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

JULY CONTD.

14. Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561
15. Aladejobi v. Nigerian Bar Association p. 3623
16. Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651
17. Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681
18. Ayan v. State p. 3717
19. Chime v. Onwuegbu p. 3739
20. Fagoriola v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 3753
21. Igbokwe v. State p. 3775
22. Odogwu v. State p. 3779
23. Olaniyan v. Fatoki p. 3829
24. Olatunbosun v. State p. 3851
25. Popoola v. State p. 3873

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 7 KLR**

ACTIONS - Technicality - Effect - The Procedural irregularities in the matter - Did not adversely affect appellant - Nor occasioned any miscarriage of justice (H13) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES - Will - Beneficiaries - Hierarchy - Justice demands that respondent takes his father's place in the will - As one who succeeds to another's right - Ought to use that person's right (H6) Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES - Wills - Beneficiaries - Equity - Justice demands that appellants who shared the property - Should not be the first to take by choice - As he who comes to equity must come with clean hands (H7) Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES - Wills - Court of Appeal - Findings - Correctness of - The court did not act in excess of its jurisdiction - As it only directed that disposition of the property - Be guided by intention of the testator in exhibit P1 (H5) Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES - Wills - Equity - It is injustice to alter the 3rd position of respondent's father in the hierarchy of the will - And appellants who want equity are expected to do equity (H2) Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651

ALIBI - Defence - Accused at crime scene - Where presence of accused is fixed at the scene - The defence is defeated and need no investigation (H4) Ayan v. State p. 3717

ALIBI - Defence - Inconsistencies - Where material facts of the defence are found to be false - Prosecution is not bound to investigate - As no alibi is established (H3) Ayan v. State p. 3717

ALIBI - Defence - Investigation - Where alibi has been promptly set up - Prosecution must carry on an investigation - But failure to do so would not always be fatal to prosecution's case (H2) Ayan v. State p. 3717

ALIBI - Proof - Prosecution has onus to adduce credible evidence to

disprove alibi - But accused must firstly discharge the evidential burden of setting up facts - On which alibi can rest (H1) Ayan v. State p. 3717

APPEALS - Court - Finding - Correctness of - CA decision affirming trial court's finding stands - As appellants were unable to show that findings of the two courts - Were not based on pleadings and evidence on record (H6) Olaniyan v. Fatoki p. 3829

APPEALS - Dismissal - Basis - Appeal court can only dismiss appeal - If the appeal appears to be a non starter - And the court does not reevaluate evidence - Except there are genuine complaints (H6) Ayan v. State p. 3717

APPEALS - Issues - Formulation - Principle - Grounds of appeal should not be less than issues - Although court may tolerate equal number of grounds and issues (H1) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

APPEALS - Judgment - Correctness of - CA rightly affirmed trial court's judgment - Despite resolving issue 2 in appellants' favour - Since there was overwhelming credible evidence evaluated by the trial court (H5) Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

APPEALS - Judgment - Court of Appeal - Power - By CA Rules O. 18 r. 11 - The court can give any judgment or order as the case may require - Including any order as to costs (H3) Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651

APPEALS - Judgment - Interference - Basis - It is necessary to determine whether or not the judgment - Had evolved from pleadings and evidence of parties - And where found to be perverse - Whether miscarriage of justice has been occasioned (H2) Olaniyan v. Fatoki p. 3829

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Validity - Cross appellants having failed to refer the matter to Code of Conduct Tribunal - Alleged violations of the code by counsel - Have not been established as to affect competence of the processes (H5) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 7 KLR**

APPEALS - Perverse finding - Meaning - Decision is perverse when it runs counter to pleadings and evidence on record - Or where the affected court considered matters - Which it ought not to have taken into account (H1) Olaniyan v. Fatoki p. 3829

APPEALS - Reply brief - Purpose - Reply brief deals on points of law - Addressing only new issue(s) raised in respondent's brief - But does not re argue or analyze appellant's case (H1) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Affidavit - Resolution - As demand were made on appellants to surrender the chieftaincy property - CA rightly held that no conflict exist - That calls for oral evidence (H6) Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Appeals - Concurrent findings - Concerning approval of Benue State Govt. - With regards to appointment and assumption of duties by clan head - Cannot be faulted by SC - As same have not been shown to be perverse (H3) Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Chiefs Law s. 8 - Application - The section deals with oath taking by chief - And not government approval as a prerequisite - To assumption of office as a chief (H4) Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Federal HC - Jurisdiction - 1999 Constitution s. 251(1)(p)(q)(r)- Applicability - To determine application of the provisions - Subject matter and parties in the action must be examined (H8) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Jurisdiction - 1999 Constitution 5th schdl - Breach of - Para.12 gives exclusive jurisdiction to Code of Conduct Tribunal - Powers of regular courts are thereby ousted (H4) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

COURT PROCESSES - Service - Fair hearing - Appellant having been

duly served the originating process - But chose to ignore same - Should not complain but take the consequences (H10) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

COURT PROCESSES - Service - Proof - As appellant filed no process to controvert proper service on him - Nothing exists in the record precluding trial court - From proceeding with the case (H11) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

COURTS - Issue - Determination - Court decides live issues between the evidence and prevailing law - And does not manufacture evidence for parties - As a party wins on the strength of his evidence (H5) Ayan v. State p. 3717

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Preliminary finding - Court can inquire whether it has jurisdiction over matter before it - Prior to dealing with the main matter - And such finding does not mean it has assumed jurisdiction over the matter (H3) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

COURTS - No case submission - Procedure to adopt - When the submission is made - Court is not called upon to express opinion on evidence before it - But to rule that evidence exist or not - That links accused with offence charged (H2) Fagoriola v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 3753

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Bad character - Evidence of - Admissibility - Under Evidence Act s. 82 - Evidence of appellant's character is not admissible - As same was not in issue (H6) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Conviction - Validity - A voluntary confession of guilt is sufficient to warrant conviction - Provided that court is satisfied that it is true (H3) Olatunbosun v. State p. 3851

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Corroboration - It is desirable to have some evidence outside the confession - Which would make it probable that the confession is true (H4) Olatunbosun v.

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 7 KLR**

State p. 3851

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Relevancy - Confession is relevant when it establishes elements of the crime charged - And identifies the person who committed the offence (H5) Olatunbosun v. State p. 3851

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Evidence - Withholding of - As no reason was given for failure to call a relevant witness - It is assumed that the evidence if produced - Would have been unfavourable to prosecution vide s. 149(d) EA (H11) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Insanity - Procedure to adopt - CPL ss. 223 & 224 - When the issue is raised - Court is expected to put the trial on hold - And inquire into the sanity of accused - To see if he can continue with his trial or not (H1) Popoola v. State p. 3873

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Insanity - Proof - Appellant failed to discharge the onus of establishing his insanity - On the balance of probability (H2) Popoola v. State p. 3873

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - No case submission - Principle - The submission postulates that there is no legally admissible evidence - Or that prosecution's evidence has been so discredited - That no reasonable court can convict accused on such evidence (H1) Fagoriola v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 3753

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Prima facie case - Exists when there is sufficient evidence - To support the allegation made against accused - Of which he is expected to rebut in his defence (H3) Fagoriola v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 3753

CUSTOMARY LAW - Administration of estate - Right of women - It is unfair to deny appellants' entitlement to benefit from their father's properties - By virtue of a customary law of their birth place (H1) Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651

ELECTIONS - Appeals - Extension of time - ANPP v. Albishir - As no

reason was given for delay - Grant of the application will frustrate hearing of main appeal at CA - Which borders on pre election matter that must be heard timeously (H2) Chime v. Onwuegbu p. 3739

EVIDENCE - Hearsay evidence - Definition - By Evidence Act s. 37 - It is oral or written statement made otherwise than by a witness - Which is not admissible - And which is tendered to prove the truth of matter stated in it (H4) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

EVIDENCE - Hearsay evidence - Fate - Evidence of PW2 is inadmissible and was wrongly admitted in violation of Evidence Act - Since the witness was repeating what another person told him (H5) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

EVIDENCE - Sources - Can be from direct evidence of fact in issue - Or from witness who claims personal knowledge of facts to which he testified (H3) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

EVIDENCE - Witnesses - Tainted witness is one who is an accomplice in crime charged - Or who by evidence he gives - May be regarded as having some purpose of his own to serve (H2) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation of - The principle cannot avail appellant - As he was properly served with the process - And it is not for court to compel him to act on the opportunity presented (H12) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

JUDGMENTS - Delivery - Delay in - Effect - The delay did not affect the trial court's judgment - As issues raised were considered and resolved - And no miscarriage of justice was shown to have been suffered by appellant (H1) Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

JUDGMENTS - Mistake in - Effect - Statement of CA that grounds 3 & 4 were not covered by the issues - Occasioned no miscarriage of justice - For it is not every error in judgment - That results in appeal being allowed (H2) Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 7 KLR

JURISDICTION - Determination - Basis - To ascertain jurisdiction of court in a matter - Writ of summons and statement of claim - Are the most crucial processes to be examined (H7) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

JURISDICTION - Election - Nomination - The matter is not within exclusive jurisdiction of Federal HC - As relief 7 cannot be construed as challenging the decision of 4th respondent - In recognizing 1st respondent as candidate of CPC (H9) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

JURISDICTION - Fundamentality of - It should be determined at earliest opportunity - Because if court has no jurisdiction in a case - The proceedings remain a nullity ab initio - No matter how well conducted (H1) Aladejobi v. Nigerian Bar Association p. 3623

JURISDICTION - Meaning of - It is authority which court has to decide matters before it - And such power is controlled by statute creating the court - Or by condition precedent which must be fulfilled - Before court can entertain the suit (H2) Aladejobi v. Nigerian Bar Association p. 3623

JURISDICTION - Source - Jurisdiction is a matter that is statutorily based - As provided in the Constitution or Acts - And can only be removed or whittled down by express statutory provisions (H6) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

LAND LAW - Action - Estoppel - Respondent having established title conveyed by Exhibit A - And relied on Exhibits D, C1 & C2 - Appellants are estopped from relitigating - The facts of the land belonging to the Oba - And that appellants were customary tenant (H5) Olaniyan v. Fatoki p. 3829

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Mere production of deed of conveyance - Does not automatically establish title - As court must inter alia be satisfied - That the conveyance is valid and duly executed (H4) Olaniyan v. Fatoki p. 3829

LAND LAW - Trespass - Damages - Plaintiff who claims damages and

injunction for trespass - Puts his title in issue - And succeeds on proving that he has better title than defendant (H3) *Olaniyan v. Fatoki* p. 3829

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Appeals - Supreme Court - Jurisdiction - By LP Act s. 12(1) - Appellant cannot appeal to SC against decision of LPDC - Without first appealing to Appeal Committee of Body of Benchers (H4) *Aladejobi v. Nigerian Bar Association* p. 3623

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Error - Effect on litigant - Principle of not visiting litigant with sins of counsel is not absolute - As litigant is supposed not to be indifferent - To his counsel's conduct of his case (H2) *Ahmed v. Ahmed* p. 3561

MURDER - Charge - Objection - Where the charge under CC s. 319(1) is wrong - It is the duty of counsel to take objection - Before appellant takes his plea (H2) *Olatunbosun v. State* p. 3851

MURDER - Charge - Under CC s. 319 - Charge for murder in any Southern State in Nigeria would be correct - If brought under CC s. 319 (H1) *Olatunbosun v. State* p. 3851

MURDER - Conviction - Circumstantial evidence - Evidence of circumstances in this case is inadmissible - As same is capable of explanation upon other hypothesis - Than that of appellant's guilt (H12) *Odogwu v. State* p. 3779

MURDER - Evidence - Evaluation - If trial court had properly evaluated oral evidence of prosecution witnesses - The same would have been expunged as inadmissible hearsay - Given by tainted witnesses (H7) *Odogwu v. State* p. 3779

MURDER - Evidence - Missing exhibits - Effect - Doubt created by disappearance of the vital exhibits - And the resultant inability of Supreme Court to evaluate same - Enures to benefit of appellant (H8) *Odogwu v. State* p. 3779

MURDER - Proof - Document - Relevancy - The funeral programme

is relevant as it was not meant to contradict PW4 - But to show from its content that deceased could have been killed - By any of those on whose toes he had stepped (H10) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

MURDER - Proof - The newspaper wherein cult group - Claimed responsibility for death of deceased is relevant - And ought to have been admitted (H9) Odogwu v. State p. 3779

RAPE - Denial - Proof - Medical evidence - Once there is denial - The evidence is not always mandatory - As court is encouraged to look for such evidence - Which shows injury to private part of prosecutrix (H3) Popoola v. State p. 3873

RULES OF COURT - Compliance - Solanke v. Somefun - Rules of court are meant to be complied with - As they regulate matters in court - And help parties to present their cases - For fair and quick trial (H4) Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651

STATUTES - Provisions - Adherence to - Where statute prescribes a legal line of action for initiating court process - All remedies in the statute should be followed to the letter (H5) Aladejobi v. Nigerian Bar Association p. 3623

SUPREME COURT - Appeals - Extension of time - Condition - Before the court grants such prayer - Reason why the application was not earlier filed after the time statutorily allowed - Must be given (H1) Chime v. Onwuegbu p. 3739

WORDS & PHRASES - "Shall" - Meaning - LP Act s. 12(1) - The word as employed in the law denotes obligation - Or command and gives no room for discretion (H3) Aladejobi v. Nigerian Bar Association p. 3623

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Benue State Chief & Traditional Council's Law No. 4 of 1991, s. 1(a)
Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

Benue State Local Government (Establishment Law) 2000, s. 61(1)(2)
Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

Chief (Appointment & Disposition) Law Cap. 20 Laws of Northern
Nigeria, ss. 2 (1)(2), 8 Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 233(1)-(3) Chime
v. Onwuegbu p. 3739, ss. 6(6), 251(1)(p)(q)(r) Ahmed v. Ahmed p.
3561, s. 36 Aladejobi v. Nigerian Bar Association p. 3623, s. 294(1)(5)
Atungwu v. Ochekwu p. 3681

Corrupt Practices & Other Related Offences Act 2000, s. 19 Fagoriola
v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 3753

Court of Appeal Rules, O. 18 r. 11(1)(2) Asika v. Atuanya p. 3651

Criminal Code Act, s. 319 Ayan v. State p. 3717

Criminal Code Cap 30 Vol. II Laws of Ondo State 1978, ss. 316,
319(1) Olatunbosun v. State p. 3851, ss. 313 [1], 320, 324 Ayan v.
State p. 3717

Criminal Code Laws of Eastern Nigeria, s. 319 Odogwu v. State p.
3779

Criminal Code Laws of Ogun State of Nigeria 1978, ss. 28, 358
Popoola v. State p. 3873

Criminal Procedure Act, s. 463 Olatunbosun v. State p. 3851

Criminal Procedure Law Cap. C31 Laws of Ondo State 1978, s. 286
Fagoriola v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 3753, ss. 222, 223, 224

xii INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2013) 7 KLR

Popoola v. State p. 3873

Electoral Act 2010 (as amended), s. 87(10) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

Evidence Act 2011, ss. 113, 116 Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561, ss. 37 – 39, 82, 227(2) Odogwu v. State p. 3779, s. 136 Popoola v. State p. 3873, s. 138 Olatunbosun v. State p. 3851

Evidence Act Cap E14 LFN 2004, s. 77 Odogwu v. State p. 3779

Kano State High Court (Civil Procedure Rules 1988), O. 12 r. 2, O. 13 r. 1(2) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

Legal Practitioners Act Cap 207 LFN 1990 (as amended), ss. 11(7) and 12(1)(2)(5) Aladejobi v. Nigerian Bar Association p. 3623

Legal Practitioners Act LFN 2004, s. 8(1) Ahmed v. Ahmed p. 3561

Supreme Court Act Cap S15 LFN 2004, s. 27(2) (3)(4) Chime v. Onwuegbu p. 3739

Supreme Court Rules, O. 6 r. 5(1)(a)(2) Olatunbosun v. State p. 3851